

Systemic Risk and the Financial System: Background

FRBNY/NAS Conference on New Directions for Understanding Systemic
Risk

18 May 2006

Darryll Hendricks

The views expressed are those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of UBS or its affiliates.

Setting the context

- ◆ How does one define systemic risk?
 - For what questions is this critical?
- ◆ What historical episodes provide input for thinking about financial stability and systemic risk?
- ◆ What are the salient elements of the financial system?
 - How have these been evolving over the last 10 ~ 20 years?
- ◆ What types of models have been used to help think about these issues?
- ◆ Where might new approaches be useful?

Defining systemic risk

- ◆ Systemic risk is notoriously difficult to define precisely
 - Most definitions involve some degree of financial sector disruption that affects the broader (“real”) economy
 - Typically the idea of self-reinforcing feedback or similar propagation mechanism lies just beneath the surface
- ◆ But what is the threshold?
 - Disruptions to other sectors might also create externalities; does this justify public policy intervention?
 - Should the focus be on episodes where disruptions have the potential to move economy to an inferior equilibrium?
- ◆ Does the debate over definition matter?
 - Is it simply a reflection of our collective lack of precise understanding of the relevant phenomena?
 - To what extent does it reflect different perspectives/biases with respect to the desirability of public policy intervention?

Drawing on historical experiences

- ◆ Banking panics of the 19th and early 20th century
- ◆ Great Depression (Crash of 1929 & bank failures in 1930s)
 - Did financial sector events set in motion a self-reinforcing downward economic spiral?
 - Role of central bank actions in the events?
- ◆ Herstatt crisis of 1974 and settlement risk
- ◆ Stock market crash of 1987
 - Role of market liquidity and central bank actions
 - Clearing and settlement mechanisms
- ◆ Asia/Russia/LTCM 1998
 - What would have been the consequences of a disorderly failure of LTCM?
- ◆ Sept 11, 2001
 - Disruptions to operational infrastructure

Understanding key features of the financial system

- ◆ Large globally active intermediaries
 - Commercial and investment banks provide a range of wholesale and financial services
 - Typically significantly leveraged institutions themselves
- ◆ Wide variety of asset managers
 - Pension funds, mutual funds, endowments, hedge funds, private equity funds
- ◆ Immense volumes of trading and settlement on a daily basis
 - Many of the related flows are mutually offsetting but can involve transient credit exposures
- ◆ Growth of capital markets activities
 - Securitization
 - OTC derivatives

Modelling systemic risk

- ◆ Models of contagion and banking panics
 - Assume that forced liquidation of real investments is costly
 - Banks provide liquidity transformation and are inherently subject to “runs”
 - Contagion may be due to common factors, to inter-bank exposures, or to perceptions of the above
- ◆ Models of market panics
 - Coordination failures among market participants
 - Each acts individually to restrict risk-taking
 - Collectively market liquidity is materially reduced
 - Attempts to characterize “bubbles” and “panics”
 - Importance of leverage
 - Does not necessarily assume fully rational behavior
- ◆ Economic/financial literature on these and other relevant models is large and growing

Highlighting new directions

- ◆ Can systemic episodes be predicted?
 - What combination of market and institutional characteristics are most likely to give rise to these phenomena?
- ◆ What is the effect of financial market innovation on the potential for systemic risk?
 - Probability & Consequences Given Event
- ◆ Can operational disruptions alone create a systemic event?
 - Could the financial system adjust smoothly to a much lower level of activity?